## May $3 d$. <br> Vice-President Vaux in the Chair.

Fourteen members present.
Mr. Cassin informed the Academy that our late fellow member, Mr. Samuel Ashmead, had bequeathed to the Academy his entire collection of Algae, together with the privilege of selecting from his mineralogical cabinet such specimens as may be desirable.

> May 10 th.
> Vice-President Vaux in the Chair.

Thirteen members present.

$$
\text { May } 17 \text { th. }
$$

Mr. Lea in the Cbair.
Twenty-two members present.
A paper was presented for publication entitled "New Unionidæ, Melanidæ, \&c., chiefly of the United States." By Isaac Lea.

May 24th.
Vice-President Bridges in the Chair.
Eighteen members present.
A paper was presented for publication entitled "Descriptions of new marine Invertebrata from Puget's Sound, \&c." By Dr. Wm. Stimpson.

> May 31st.
> Vice-President Vaux in the Chair.

Sixteen members present.
On Report of the respective Committees, the paper of Mr. Leea, read May 17 th, was ordered to be published in the Journal, and the follow. ing papers in the Proceedings:

Critical Remarks on the Genera sebastes and sebastodes of Ayres.

## BY THEODORE GILL.

In the Proceedings of the California Academy of Natural Sciences, "Remarks in relation to the Fishes of California, which are included in Cuvier's genus Sebastes," and subsequently, in the Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London, "Notes on the Sebastoid Fishes occurring on the coast of California, U.S.A.,"* have been published by Wm. O. Ayres, M. D., C.M. Z. S.

[^0]The object of these memoirs is to show that there are eleven species of $\mathrm{Se}-$ bastoid fishes in the Californian waters, distributable among two genera, distinguished only by the prominence or little development of spinous ridges on " the top of the head." For those with ridges he reserves the name Stbastes; for those with "little developed" ones, he accepts the name Sebastodes, proposed for a natural genus of which S. paucispinis is the only known species.

Rehearsing the history of Sebastodes, Dr. Ayres admits that the "grouping of characters" assigned to it "belongs only to the single spccies S. paucispinis;" and also in his final paper, that "the 'minute scales' belong only to S. paucispinis,"* and then proceeds to show that species of other genera hare some of the characters attributed to it! He finally dismisses Sebastodes immediately after the remark that "the 'minute scales' belong only to S. paucispinis," with the conclusion that "it does not seem possible, therefore, (!!) that Stbastodes can be retained with such limits as were assigned to it by Mr. Gill"! The logical character of the inference is rather dubious, after the admission of the truth of a principal proposition. But for the benefit of Dr. Ayres, who may doubt the value of the character, the opinion of Dr. Günther, whose authority he will scarcely gainsay, is adduced. That gentleman attributes to Sebustes "scales of moderate or small size," and not minute ones like those of S. paucispinis, which, although admitted in the genus by him, he had never seen. Günther has, however, shown his appreciation of the value of the size of the scales in all his diagnoses of the Scorpænoidæ, and has separated the Trigle of Europe into two genera solely on account of the size of the scales. Therefore the single character admitted by Ayres as pcculiar to Sebastodes paueispinis would alone, in the opinion of some, entirely separate it from his other species, but when it is stated that it also differs remarkably in the form of the head, the skull, the prcoperculum, the connection of the vomer and palatine bones, the direction of the anterior teeth of the jaws, the palatine rows, \&c., the unnatural character of the association in one genus of it and species of the ordinary Sebastoid form will be obvious. Sebastodes paucispinis is decidedly the only known species of the genus.

Dr. Ayres "refers without hesitation to the genus of which the common species of Massachusetts Bay, S. viviparus, $\dagger$ is a member," the species of Sebastoids with the frontal and coronal spines moderately or extremely developed, stating that the difference in the number of dorsal spines, when "unsupported, docs not appear sufficient." In this respect also he differs widely from Giinther: that author distinguishes Sebastes by the number of spines,** assigning to it twelve or thirteen, and emphatically insists upon its ralue in his remarks on the Centropogon australis,-a species with fifteen spines, -remarking, that "this species approaches in general habit the genera Sebastes and Scorpana, from which it must be separated on account of the number of the dorsal spines,-a much more certain generic character than the presence or absence of a preorbital spine, which is found in fishes that cannot be separated from Sebastes (S. nematophthalmus.)" $\mathrm{E}^{2}$ Dr. Ayres will doubtless admit the justness of the denial of the pertinence of any Californian species to the same genus as Sebastes with fifteen dorsal spines, when acquainted with this emphatic endorsement of the ralue of the number of dorsal spincs and the depreciation of the importance of the cephalic spines. It is true that Dr. Günther admits, as the first two species of Sebastes, S. norvegieus

[^1]and S.viviparus, which have " fifteen" dorsal spines, and which are indeed the types of the genus, but that gentleman has shown his appreciation of the value of the eharacter, and has only been unhappy in its application: he should have given a new name to the genus defined by him. Dr. Ayres has omitted to inform his readers that the difference in the number of dorsal spines is also supported by a eorresponding difference in the number of vertebræ, the species of "Sebastichthys having, as far as known, only ten abdominal and fourteen caudal vertebre,"* while Sebastes has about twelve abdominal and nineteen eaudal vertebræ. $\dagger$

The value of the eharacters used to distinguish the genera Sebastes, Sebastichthys and Sebastodes is now indeed so generally coneeded by seientific men, that it is unneeessary to further argue in their favor. I shall only remark that the eombinations and distinctions of forms by Dr. Ayres are alike unnatural and violate all natural affinities, and that the distinetions used by him to separate his genera Sebastes and Sebastodes are only of seeondary value. More acquaintance with the speeies of the family would undoubtedly convince him of the justness of this assertion.
Dr. Ayres has been unfortunate in at least one of his identifieations, connecting Girard's name Sebastes rosaceus with a species of "Sebastodes," with the remark that "this is the species originally deseribed by Girard under the name rosaceus; and again, quite eorreetly, in the tenth volume of the 'Pacific Railroad Reports." "Yet $S$. rosaceus is said to have "the upper surface of the head with horizontal and aeute ridges," and is figured with sueh armature as well as with the sceond, instead of the third, anal spine longest, the pectoral and ventrals ceasing before the vent, \&e.! Girard's Sebastes rosaceus is indeed a typical Sebastes of Ayres, and entirely identical with the S. helvomaculatus of the latter, as the examination of the two specimens known to Girard has convinced me. The speeimens are in poor eondition, but the spots are still visible. The Sebastodes rosaceus of Ayres is therefore deprived of a name, and may reeeive that of Sebastosomus $\ddagger$ pinniger.

It is also proper to here remark that two species are apparently confounded by Girard under the name Sebastes melanops, one with. "a small spine upon the suprascapular bone, two others upon the edge of the operele," and another from Cape Flattery with the lower opercular spine as well as the supraorbital ridges obsolete, and the forehead between the eyes perfeetly arched. The latter may be named Sebastosomus simulans.

In eonelusion, the genus Sebastichthys includes at least three genera. The Sebastichthys nigrocinctus is somewhat related to Scorprena, and distinguished by elevated, serrated eoronal erests. Other Californian speeies represented by the Sebastes melanops, seen by me, differ so much that they may be separated and combined for the present under a genus Sebastosomus, of whieh the Sebastes melanops of Girard may be taken as the type. Still others, distinguished by the texture of the bones of the skull, armed orbital ridges, prefrontals, \&c., and represented by Sebastes rosaceus, Grd., may be named Sebastomus. In a contemplated Monograph of the Seorpænoids of California, the relations of the speeies will be more fully diseussed.

## Second Contribution to the SELACHOLOGY of California.

## BY THEODORE GILL.

Since the publieation of the article "On the Classification of the Families and Genera of the Squali of California,"§ additional information has been

[^2]1864.]


[^0]:    " I have been favored by Prof. Baird with the advance sheets of these Proceedings.
    1864.]

[^1]:    * Dr. Ayres has in his first article insisted that "the little 'accessory scales' mentioned by Girard are not confined to the three species stated ly him, but are common to all;" but in his fiual paper, he has admitted the truth of Girard's and my own descriptions.
    $\dagger$ Dr. Ayres has omitted to state that I was responslble for the identification of the Massachesetts Sebastes with $S$. vivipar us, and that his knowledge of that identity was solely derived from me.
    $\ddagger$ "One dorsal, separated by a notch in a spinous and soft portion, with twelve or thirteen spines."-Gthr., ii. 95.
    \$ Guuther, ii. 129.

[^2]:    * Gill, Proceed. Acad. Nat. Sci., Phila., 1862, p. 278.
    $\dagger$ The increase in the number of vertebre in the species of Sebastes, a genus peculiar to the Northern Seas, affords an excellent example of the truth of the generalization claiming an increased number of vertebre for the cold-water representatives of the families of Acanthopterygians.
    $\ddagger$ Sebastosomus, Gill. Type Sebastes melanops, Girard.
    § Proc. Acad. Nat. Sciences, Pbila., 1862, pp. 483-501.

